NEVADA JOINT UNION HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT

Bond Oversight Committee Regular Meeting December 2, 2009 Nevada Jt. Union District Office Conference Room Adopted Minutes

CALL TO ORDER:

The meeting was called to order at 3:30 p.m.

MEMBERS PRESENT:

Sue Cook Norrell Dottie Kelley Mike Bratton Lorraine Plagge Wayne Klauer

DISTRICT BUSINESS

DEPARTMENT:

Paul Palmer Karen Suenram

GUEST:

Jeff Jensen, Perry-Smith Auditor

PUBLIC COMMENTS:

None

APPROVAL OF AGENDA:

On motion by Bratton, seconded by Cook Norrell, the Committee voted unanimously to

approve agenda items.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES:

On motion by Kelley, seconded by Bratton, the Committee voted unanimously to approve the amended minutes of the May 12, 2009 Regular

meeting.

ELECTION OF OFFICERS:

Lorraine Plagge was voted as the new

Chairman. Mike Bratton was voted to remain as

Clerk.

MEMBER APPOINTMENTS:

Sue Cook Norrell will now be the appointee to represent a Senior Citizen's Organization. Wayne Klauer was welcomed as a new member to represent a parent of a student enrolled in the

District.

REPORTS:

Reviewed handouts showing financial activity and status of the Modernization projects as of September 30, 2009.

Karen distributed the Independent Accountant's Report On Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures and it was accepted unanimously.

DISCUSSION:

Group discussion was held regarding information reported. Jeff Jensen, from Perry-Smith was available for questions regarding the Accountant's report.

NEXT MEETING:

The next regularly scheduled meeting will be held Tuesday, February 16, 2010 at 3:30 pm at Nevada Joint Union High School District Office Conference Room

ADJOURNMENT

On motion by Cook-Norrell, seconded by Kelley, the committee voted to adjourn the meeting at 4:13 p.m.

Chairman

Clerk

FACILITIES REPORT

September, 2009

NEVADA UNION HIGH SCHOOL CAMPUS

NUHS Bond Modernization Project Phase IIB- Funding sources: Bond proceeds and State matching funds.

Earthquake Safety Upgrades

Progress includes:

- o Total project is 100% completed.
- o Approved change orders 7.49%

Multipurpose Room Project Phase IIC

Landmark Construction is essentially complete.

- o The modernization 2C project is essentially complete.
- o The total project is approximately 99.99% complete.
- o Approved change orders to date 2.65%

Modernization Project Phase IID

The Modernization Project 2D is under review by the Division of the State Architect. DLM Architects is in the back-check process of the plan review. DSA approval is expected in the near future.

Upgrades include the following;

- o Complete restroom renovation in both areas.
- o ADA upgrades in A Bldg.
- o Fire alarm upgrades in A Bldg.

Athletic Field Renovation - Funding sources: Bond proceeds.

The lower fields are 100 %complete

Baseball Field #4 Bleacher/Announcers Booth

The project is 100 % complete and the facility is back in use

BEAR RIVER HIGH SCHOOL CAMPUS

BRHS Bond Project-Funding sources: Bond proceeds, Developer fees, and Bear River P&RD **The Performing Arts progress includes**:

o The project is 100% complete.

The Aquatic facility progress includes:

o The total project is 100% complete.

BRHS Stadium Upgrades Restrooms and Bleachers -Funding Source: Mandated cost reimbursements

Restroom Project Phase A

- o The portable restroom facility is complete and in use.
- o Total project is 100% completed.

Bleacher Project Phase B

o The Bear River High School stadium upgrade bleacher project is 100 % complete.

NEVADA UNION HIGH SCHOOL MODERNIZATION BUDGET PHASE IID YTD ACTUALS 09-30-09 vs. BUDGET

9.98%	1,232,530	136,703	1		136,703		1,369,233	1,369,233	
9.98%	1,232,530	136,703	1	1	136,703		1,369,233	1,369,233	BOND =
									FUNDING SOURCES
9.98%	1,232,531	136,703	1	2	136,703		1,369,233	1.369,233	
0.00%	97,314	ŧ				-	97,314	97,314	Total revised contingency
		1					*		Less contingency used - line items
	,	1					-	1	Less contingency used - construction
		-					ı		Reduce Other Budget to Fund CO
0.00%	97,314	ı					97,314	97,314	Contingency
0.00%	25,000	ı				-	25,000	25,000	Miscellaneous
#DIV/0!	ı	ı				-	ŧ	_	Furniture and Equipment
0.00%	2,500	ı				-	2,500	2,500	Temporary Housing
100.00%	(0)	9,780			9,780		9,780	9,780	Permits & Fees
0.00%	4,866	-				1	4,866	4,866	Labor Compliance (.5 of 1%)
0.00%	12,800	ŧ				-	12,800	12,800	Construction Testing
0.00%	10,720	1					10,720	10,720	Consultants
14.41%	12,838	2,162			2,162	*	15,000	15,000	Asbestos/Lead Abatement
0.00%	20,800	ł				•	20,800	20,800	Inspector
0.00%	15,000	-				-	15,000	15,000	Other Construction Costs
0.00%	973,138	1				-	973,138	973,138	Total revised contract
		1					•	1	Changes to contract
		1					973,138	973,138	Construction Contract
		ı					*		Artchitect Expense Reimbursement
68.43%	57,555	124,761			124,761	1	182,316	182,316	DLM Architects
SPENT	BUDGET	TO DATE	EXPENSES	EXPENSES	EXPENSES	REVISED BDGT	BUDGET	BUDGET	
% OF BDGT	REVISED	EXPENSES	2010-2011	2009-2010	2008-2009	ORIGINAL AND	REVISED	ORIGINAL	
	BALANCE OF	PROJECT				BETWEEN			
		TOTAL				DIFFERENCE			

NEVADA UNION HIGH SCHOOL MODERNIZATION BUDGET PHASE IIC YTD ACTUALS 09-30-09 vs. BUDGET

			חייים					1014		
			BETWEEN					PROJECT	BALANCE OF	
	ORIGINAL	REVISED	ORIGINAL AND	2006-2007	2007-2008	2008-2009	2009-2010	EXPENSES	REVISED	% OF BDGT
	BUDGET	BUDGET	REVISED BDGT	EXPENSES	EXPENSES	EXPENSES	EXPENSES	TO DATE	BUDGET	SPENT
DLM Architects	481,589	481,589	1	33,728	313,633	60,096		407,458	74,131	84.61%
Artchitect Expense Reimbursement	30,000	30,000						-	30,000	
Construction Contract	4,535,988	4,199,932						_		
Changes to contract	ı	111,284						-		
Total revised contract	4,535,988	4,311,216	(224,772)		1,612,412	2,695,898		4,308,310	2,906	99.93%
Other Construction Costs	50,000	68,253	18,253		25,995	46,570		72,565	(4,312)	106.32%
Inspector	83,200	91,683	8,483		44,980	46,995		91,975	(292)	100.32%
Asbestos/Lead Abatement	50,000	13,301	(36,699)	-	11,998	1,303		13,301	1	100.00%
Consultants	60,000	60,000	ŧ		12,196	1,315		13,511	46,489	22.52%
Construction Testing	50,000	63,294	13,294		39,452	24,397		63,850	(556)	100.88%
Labor Compliance (.5 of 1%)	22,680	30,963	8,283		7,101	24,959		32,060	(1,097)	103.54%
Permits & Fees	50,000	50,000	1		28,525	2,924		31,449	18,551	62.90%
Temporary Housing		150,000	150,000		101,990	36,124	322	138,436	11,564	92.29%
Furniture and Equipment		203,974	203,974		3,079	204,965		208,044	(4,070)	102.00%
Miscellaneous	382,528	51,528	(331,000)	11	8,117	24,914	645	33,687	17,841	65.38%
Contingency	503,999	419,993						~	419,993	0.00%
Reduce Other Budget to Fund CO							.	1		
Less contingency used - construction	1	(111,284)						1	(111,284)	
Less contingency used - line items		(36,268)						ì	(36,268)	
Total revised contingency	503,999	272,441	(231,557)					-	272,441	35.13%
	6,299,984	5,878,242	(421,742)	33,740	2,209,479	3,170,459	967	5,414,645	463,597	92.11%
FUNDING SOURCES										
BOND =	3,705,807	3,763,165	57,358	33,740	2,209,479	3,614,710	967	5,858,895	(2,095,730)	158.10%
TRANSFER-SIERRA COLLEGE RD	831,488	831,488	-			831,488		831,488	-	0.00%
FLEX FUND LOAN (CSBA)	1,762,689	**	(1,762,689)					-	_	
STATE FUNDS =	1	1,283,589	1,283,589			(1,275,738)		(1,275,738)	2,559,327	0.00%
LOCAL (LABOR COMPLIANCE)	1		1					-	,	0.00%
	6,299,984	5,878,242	(421,742)	33,740	2,209,479	3,170,459	967	5,414,645	463,597	92.11%

NEVADA UNION HIGH SCHOOL MODERNIZATION BUDGET PHASE IIB YTD ACTUALS 09-30-09 vs. BUDGET

REVISED DRIGINAL AND 2006-2007 2007-2008 2008-2009 EXPENSES EXPENSES EXPENSES EXPENSES TO DATE	92.78%	92,510	1,196,252		824,383	371,869		1,289,407	2,262,276	
REVISED ORIGINAL AND 2006-2007 2007-2008 2008-2009 EXPENSES REVISED % OF BUDGET REVISED BDGT EXPENSES EXPENSES EXPENSES TO DATE BUDGET SP		-	_						-	LOCAL (LABOR COMPLIANCE)
REVISED ORIGINAL AND 2006-2007 2007-2008 2008-2009 EXPENSES REVISED % OF BUDGET REVISED BDGT EXPENSES EXPENSES TO DATE BUDGET SP		-	855,658		855,658			855,658		STATE FUNDS =
REVISED ORIGINAL AND 2006-2007 2007-2008 2008-2009 EXPENSES REVISED W.OF BUDGET REVISED BDGT EXPENSES EXPENSES EXPENSES TO DATE BUDGET SP C		-	-							NON PROFIT CORP =
REVISED DRIGINAL AND 2006-2007 2007-2008 2008-2009 EXPENSES REVISED % OF BUDGET REVISED BDGT EXPENSES EXPENSES EXPENSES TO DATE BUDGET SP	- <u>-</u>	92,510	341,239		(31,275)	371,869		433,749	2,262,276	BOND =
REVISED ORIGINAL AND BUDGET 2006-2007 EXPENSES 2007-2008 EXPENSES CON-2009 EXPENSES REVISED BUDGET % O DATE SEXPENSES REVISED SEXPENSES REVISED SEXPENSES CO DATE SEXPENSES REVISED SEXPENSES % O DATE SEXPENSES REVISED SEXPENSES REVISED SEXPENSES TO DATE SEXPENSES REVISED SEXPENSES TO DATE SEXPENSES										FUNDING SOURCES
REVISED DRIGINAL AND 2006-2007 2007-2008 EXPENSES EXPENSES EXPENSES TO DATE BUDGET STO DATE STO DATE STO DATE STO DATE STO DATE STO DATE	92	92,510	1,196,897		824,383	371,869	(972,869)	1,289,407	2,262,276	
REVISED ORIGINAL AND BUDGET 2006-2007 EXPENSES 2007-2008 EXPENSES EXPENSES EXPENSES EXPENSES EXPENSES TO DATE BUDGET REVISED STODATE SUDGET % O ATE BUDGET % O ATE BUDGET S C 5.422) % O ATE BUDGET % O STODATE BUDGET S C 5.422) % O ATE AUX AUX <t< td=""><td></td><td>21,518</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>(149,605)</td><td>21,518</td><td>171,123</td><td>Total revised contingency</td></t<>		21,518					(149,605)	21,518	171,123	Total revised contingency
REVISED ORIGINAL AND 2006-2007 2007-2008 2008-2009 EXPENSES REVISED % O BUDGET EXPENSES EXPENSES EXPENSES TO DATE BUDGET SI			-							Less contingency used - line items
REVISED ORIGINAL AND BUDGET 2006-2007 REVISED BUGGT 2007-2008 EXPENSES EXPENSES EXPENSES EXPENSES EXPENSES TO DATE BUDGET % O 6 218,316 - 181,804 41,934 2203,738 (5,422) 3 0 20,000 - 181,804 41,934 223,738 (5,422) 3 1 857,000 - 181,804 41,934 223,738 (5,422) 3 1 857,000 - - 20,000 - 20,000 - 1 921,182 (790,049) 166,453 754,729 - 921,182 0 - 0 10,000 - 5,330 5,948 11,278 19,923 - 0 10,000 - 3,427 3,685 4,523 477 - 0 4,285 (8,215) 995 5,659 645 13,551 (645) 0 25,000 (25,000) 116 2,065		1	-					1	1	Less contingency used - construction
REVISED ORIGINAL AND BUDGET 2006-2007 EXPENSES 2007-2008 EXPENSES 2008-2009 EXPENSES EXPENSES REVISED TO DATE M O BUDGET % O SIGNATE 6 218,316 — 181,804 41,934 223,738 (5,422) 3 0 20,000 — 181,804 41,934 223,738 (5,422) 3 1 857,000 — 181,804 41,934 223,738 (5,422) 3 1 857,000 — 20,000 — 20,000 — 1 857,000 — 166,453 754,729 — 921,182 — 10,000 1 921,182 (790,049) 166,453 754,729 — 921,182 — 10,000 0 10,000 — 5,330 5,948 11,278 19,923 19,923 0 10,000 — 3,427 6,573 3,427 6,573 4,523 4,77 0 4,236 8,215 995 5,		(64,182)						(64,182)		Reduce Other Budget to Fund CO
REVISED ORIGINAL AND BDGT 2006-2007 EXPENSES 2007-2008 EXPENSES EXPENSES EXPENSES EXPENSES EXPENSES EXPENSES REVISED BDGT SEVISED BDGT	0	85,700	1					85,700	171,123	Contingency
REVISED ORIGINAL AND 2006-2007 2007-2008 2008-2009 EXPENSES REVISED BDGT EXPENSES EXPENSES TO DATE BUDGET \$ 0 6 218,316 — 181,804 41,934 — 223,738 (5,422) — 0 20,000 — 181,804 41,934 — 223,738 (5,422) — 1 857,000 — 181,804 41,934 — — 20,000 — 1 857,000 — 181,804 41,934 — — 20,000 — 1 857,000 — 166,453 754,729 — — — — 1 921,182 (790,049) 166,453 754,729 — 921,182 — — — 10,000 — — — 10,000 — — 10,000 — — 10,000 — — 10,923 — — 10,923 — — —	_	22,819	2,181		2,065	116	(25,000)	25,000	50,000	Miscellaneous
REVISED ORIGINAL AND BUDGET 2006-2007 EXPENSES 2007-2008 EXPENSES 2008-2009 EXPENSES REVISED BUDGET % ORIGINAL AND STATE 2006-2007 EXPENSES CODATE BUDGET % ORIGINAL AND STATE 2007-2008 EXPENSES EXPENSES EXPENSES EXPENSES EXPENSES EXPENSES PRODET % ORIGINAL AND STATE % ORIG	100	(645)	13,551	645		12,906	-	12,906	12,906	Permits & Fees
REVISED ORIGINAL AND 2006-2007 2007-2008 2008-2009 EXPENSES REVISED % O 6 BUDGET REVISED BDGT EXPENSES EXPENSES EXPENSES TO DATE BUDGET \$; 6 218,316 - 181,804 41,934 223,738 (5,422) 0 20,000 - 181,804 41,934 - 223,738 (5,422) 1 857,000 - - 8 - - 20,000 - - 20,000 - - 20,000 - - - 20,000 -	155	(2,369)	6,654		5,659	995	(8,215)	4,285	12,500	Labor Compliance (.5 of 1%)
REVISED ORIGINAL AND 2006-2007 2007-2008 2008-2009 EXPENSES REVISED % O BUDGET REVISED BDGT EXPENSES EXPENSES EXPENSES TO DATE BUDGET \$ 0 6 218,316 - 181,804 41,934 - 223,738 (5,422) - 0 20,000 - - 181,804 41,934 - 223,738 (5,422) - 1 857,000 - - - 20,000 - - 20,000 - 1 64,182 -	96	477	4,523		3,685	838		5,000	5,000	Construction Testing
REVISED ORIGINAL AND 2006-2007 2007-2008 2008-2009 EXPENSES REVISED % OF BUDGET REVISED BDGT EXPENSES EXPENSES EXPENSES TO DATE BUDGET SP 6 218,316 - 181,804 41,934 223,738 (5,422) 11 0 20,000 - 181,804 41,934 - 223,738 (5,422) 11 1 857,000 - - - - 20,000 - 1 64,182 - - - - - - 1 921,182 (790,049) 166,453 754,729 - 921,182 0 1 0 10,000 - 5,330 5,948 11,278 19,923 1 0 10,000 - - 10,365 10,365 15 1	ώ	6,573	3,427			3,427	-	10,000	10,000	Consultants
REVISED ORIGINAL AND 2006-2007 2007-2008 2008-2009 EXPENSES REVISED % OF BUDGET REVISED BDGT EXPENSES EXPENSES EXPENSES TO DATE BUDGET SP 6 218,316 - 181,804 41,934 223,738 (5,422) 1 0 20,000 - 181,804 41,934 - 223,738 (5,422) 1 1 857,000 - - 20,000 - 20,000 - 1 857,000 - - - - 20,000 - 1 64,182 (790,049) 166,453 754,729 - 921,182 0 1 0 10,000 - 5,330 5,948 11,278 11,278 19,923 3	100	(365)	10,365		10,365	1	-	10,000	10,000	Asbestos/Lead Abatement
REVISED ORIGINAL AND 2006-2007 2007-2008 2008-2009 EXPENSES REVISED % OF 6 218,316 - 181,804 41,934 223,738 (5,422) 1 0 20,000 - 18,804 41,934 - 223,738 (5,422) 1 1 857,000 - 20,000 - 20,000 - 20,000 - 1 64,182 (790,049) 166,453 754,729 921,182 0 1 0 10,000 - 10,000 - 10,000 0	36	19,923	11,278		5,948	5,330	1	31,200	31,200	Inspector
REVISED ORIGINAL AND 2006-2007 2007-2008 2008-2009 EXPENSES REVISED % C BUDGET REVISED BDGT EXPENSES EXPENSES TO DATE BUDGET \$ C 6 218,316 - 181,804 41,934 223,738 (5,422) (5,422) (5,422) (7,422)		10,000	ı				*	10,000	10,000	Other Construction Costs
REVISED ORIGINAL AND BUDGET 2006-2007 2007-2008 2008-2009 EXPENSES REVISED BUDGET % CREVISED BUDGET %	100	0	921,182		754,729	166,453	(790,049)	921,182	1,711,231	Total revised contract
REVISED ORIGINAL AND 2006-2007 2007-2008 2008-2009 EXPENSES REVISED % C BUDGET REVISED BDGT EXPENSES EXPENSES TO DATE BUDGET \$ 6 218,316 - 181,804 41,934 223,738 (5,422) 0 20,000 - 181,804 41,934 - 223,738 20,000 1 857,000 - - 20,000 - - 20,000			ı					64,182	1	Changes to contract
REVISED ORIGINAL AND 2006-2007 2007-2008 2008-2009 EXPENSES REVISED % C BUDGET REVISED BDGT EXPENSES EXPENSES TO DATE BUDGET S 6 218,316 - 181,804 41,934 223,738 (5,422) 0 20,000 - 20,000 - 20,000			1					857,000	1,711,231	Construction Contract
REVISED ORIGINAL AND 2006-2007 2007-2008 2008-2009 EXPENSES REVISED % C BUDGET REVISED BDGT EXPENSES EXPENSES TO DATE BUDGET S 6 218,316 - 181,804 41,934 - 223,738 (5,422)		20,000	1					20,000	20,000	Artchitect Expense Reimbursement
REVISED ORIGINAL AND 2006-2007 2007-2008 2008-2009 EXPENSES REVISED BUDGET REVISED BDGT EXPENSES EXPENSES EXPENSES TO DATE BUDGET	102	(5,422)	223,738		41,934	181,804	-	218,316	218,316	DLM Architects
REVISED ORIGINAL AND 2006-2007 2007-2008 2008-2009 EXPENSES REVISED	SPE	BUDGET	TO DATE	EXPENSES	EXPENSES	EXPENSES	REVISED BDGT	BUDGET	BUDGET	
_	% OF 1	REVISED	EXPENSES	2008-2009	2007-2008	2006-2007	ORIGINAL AND	REVISED	ORIGINAL	
PROJECT		BALANCE OF	PROJECT				BETWEEN			

NEVADA UNION HIGH SCHOOL MODERNIZATION BUDGET PHASE IIA YTD ACTUALS 09-30-09 vs. BUDGET

			DIFFERENCE				TOTAL		
			BETWEEN				PROJECT	BALANCE OF	
	ORIGINAL	REVISED	ORIGINAL AND	2005-2006	2006-2007	2007-2008	EXPENSES	REVISED	% OF BDGT
	BUDGET	BUDGET	REVISED BDGT	EXPENSES	EXPENSES	EXPENSES	TO DATE	BUDGET	SPENT
NTD Architects	150,000	99,981	(50,019)	69,231	31,500		100,731	(750)	100.75%
Artchitect Expense Reimbursement	20,000	-					*	ŝ	
Construction Contract	000,698	863,000					1	:	
Changes to contract	1	42,941							
Total revised contract	863,000	905,941	42,941	263,606	642,335		905,941	(0)	100.00%
Other Construction Costs	10,000	_	(10,000)				-	-	0.00%
Inspector	28,800	27,156	(1,644)	8,404	18,753		27,157	(1)	100.00%
Asbestos/Lead Abatement	10,000	5,333	(4,667)	5,333			5,333	_	100.00%
Consultants	10,000	1	(10,000)				1	1	0.00%
Construction Testing	13,500	4,766	(8,734)		4,766		4,766	0	99.99%
Labor Compliance (.5 of 1%)	4,315	4,425	110		5,225		5,225	(800)	118.08%
Permits & Fees	10,000	7,477	(2,523)	7,477			7,477	(0)	100.00%
Miscellaneous	78,479	5,978	(72,501)	3,855	2,498		6,353	(375)	106.27%
Contingency	86,300	86,300						86,300	0.00%
Reduce Other Budget to Fund CO									
Less contingency used - construction	1	(42,941)					-	(42,941)	
Less contingency used - line items							ſ		
Total revised contingency	86,300	43,359	(42,941)					43,359	49.76%
	1,284,394	1,104,416	(179,978)	357,906	705,077	-	1,062,983	41,433	96.25%
FUNDING SOURCES									
BOND =	1,284,394	952,733	(331,661)	357,906	553,394	-	911,300	41,433	95.65%
NON PROFIT CORP =	-	151,683			151,683		151,683	-	100.00%
STATE FUNDS =	1						_	ŧ	0.00%
LOCAL (LABOR COMPLIANCE)	ŧ						-	-	0.00%
	1,284,394	1,104,416	(331,661)	357,906	705,077	•	1,062,983	41,433	96.25%

NEVADA UNION HIGH SCHOOL MODERNIZATION BUDGET PHASE I YTD ACTUALS 09-30-09 vs. BUDGET

100.03%	(2)	9,231,066	146,618	28,566	1,109,255	2,798,462	4,455,067	693,097	6,422	9,228,410	9,228,410	9,221,988	
100.00%	(1)	35,553		465	6,668		9,190		(10,327)	35,553		45,880	STATE (LABOR COMPLIANCE)
100.00%	0	6,256,137			58,819	2,523,253	3,666,553	7,512	66,332	6,256,138	6,196,228	6,189,806	STATE FUNDS =
100.00%	0	1,631,900			935,225	16,565	680,110	**************************************	(44,208)	1,631,900		1,676,108	NON PROFIT CORP =
		2,654	2,654					***************************************	***************************************	***************************************		1	BOND Interest =
100.00%	(1)	1,304,821	143,964	28,101	108,543	239,414	99,214	685,585	(5,375)	1,304,819	1,310,194	1,310,194	BOND =
							derimenterenderlike der erlindere unerde				Norwana destinatives destruites de la companya del companya de la companya de la companya del companya de la companya del la companya de la c		FUNDING SOURCES
	(2,654)	9,231,065	146,618	28,566	1,109,255	2,798,462	4,455,067	693,097	6,422	9,228,410	9,228,410	9,221,988	
104.06%	1								(366,414)	,	ı	366,414	Total revised contingency
										(234,463)	(234,463)		Less contingency used - line items
		-								(146,828)	(146,828)	ŀ	Less contingency used - construction
										14,877	14,877		Reduce Other Budget to Fund CO
					-					366,414	366,414	366,414	Contingency
142.11%	0	34,230			3,677	20,335	9,051	1,167	29,230	34,230	24,087	5,000	Misc / Moving
107.32%	(2,146)	201,354	146,618	49,496			5,240		(792)	199,208	187,621	200,000	Field Upgrade
100.00%	(0)	51,705				1,519	8,961	41,226	4,741	51,705	51,705	46,964	Permits & Fees
100.00%	(0)	605,741					572,693		149,741	605,741	605,741	456,000	Interim Housing/relocation
100.00%	(0)	88,089			4,389	8,148	44,451	31,101	(76,911)	680'88	88,089	165,000	Data / Technology
101.19%	0	39,583		465	10,698		9,190		(6,297)	39,584	39,119	45,880	Labor Compliance (.5 of 1%)
100.00%.	0	8,007			2,389		4,218		(1,993)	8,007	8,007	10,000	Construction Testing
100.00%	•	15,435			6,030	4,944	1,988	2,474	10,435	15,435	15,435	5,000	Consultants
100.00%	•	11,680					(5,810)	17,490	(13,320)	11,680	11,680	25,000	Constructability review/estimates
100.00%	1	4,910						4,910	10	4,910	4,910	4,900	Site Survey
100.00%	-	51,290			3,940	11,610	25,628	10,113	(47,037)	51,290	51,290	98,327	HMS
100.00%	-	147,868			18,620	72,632	56,616		(43,988)	147,868	147,868	191,856	Inspector
100.00%	(0)	76,437					12,917	63,520	(210)	76,437	76,437	76,647	Boiler Room Asbestos Removal
103.39%	(800)	93,058		3,054	39,928		9,175		82,258	92,258	90,004	10,000	Other Construction Costs
100.00%	292	6,938,485			910,538	2,	3,528,914		163,777	6,938,777	6,938,777	6,775,000	Total revised contract
		ī								163,778	163,778	,	Changes to contract 2.41%
		ŧ								6,775,000	6,775,000	6,775,000	Construction Contract
97.25%	0	863,191		(24,449)	109,046	85,663	171,835	521,096	123,191	863,191	887,640	740,000	NTD (includes plan reproductions)
SPENT	BUDGET	TO DATE	EXPENSES	EXPENSES	EXPENSES	EXPENSES	EXPENSES	EXPENSES	FINAL BOGT	BUDGET	BUDGET	BUDGET	
% OF BDGT	REVISED	EXPENSES	2007-08	2006-07	2005-2006	2004-05	2003-04	2002-03	ORIGINAL AND	REVISED	REVISED	ORIGINAL	
	BALANCE OF	PROJECT							BETWEEN	FINAL			

BEAR RIVER HIGH SCHOOL AQUATICS CENTER YTD ACTUALS 09-30-09 vs. BUDGET

	DEVELOPER FEES	BEAR RIVER PARK AND REC DEPT	LOCAL FUNDS - mitigate lead soil	STATE FUNDS	BOND	FUNDING SOURCES		Less contingency used	Contingency	Misc	Equipment/Bleachers	Permits & Fees	Data / Technology	Labor Compliance (.5 of 1%)	Construction Testing	Consultants	Constructability review/estimates	Geotechnical / Geohazard	Site Survey	Mitigate lead soil	Hazardous Materials removal / inspections	Inspector	Other Construction Costs	Added Value Change Orders 3.30%	Soil-related Change Orders 1.14%	Construction-related Change Orders1.42%	Changes to contract (Alt #1 & #2)	Construction Contract	NTD	
2,972,284	14,912		•		2,957,372		2,972,284		198,574	36,550	,	23,616	5,000	14,912	32,250	3,000	5,000	3,247	5,848		31,632	70,950	5,000					2,304,505	232,200	PRELIMINARY BUDGET
3,492,226	443,412	60,000	31,442		2,957,372		3,492,226	(157,503)	198,574	36,550	26,950	23,616	5,000	15,000	40,000	3,000	9,756	3,617	5,848	31,442	31,632	70,950	3,608	88,844	30,907	32,626	47,562	2,658,000	286,247	REVISED BUDGET
3,406,511	260,161	77,512	31,442	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	3,037,396		3,406,511	(186,897)	198,574	12,281	58,189	23,616	6,716	15,000	37,532	1,487	9,756	3,617		31,442		42,630	21,186	89,381	30,907	38,549	47,562	2,658,000	266,983	FINAL REVISED BUDGET
125,604					125,604		125,604										1,216	3,617											120,771	2002-03 EXPENSES
111,866					111,866		111,866					12,343	203		2,900		8,540												87,881	2003-04 EXPENSES
142,029			31,442		110,587		142,029					962		394						31,442								98,876	10,355	2004-05 EXPENSES
2,883,220	273,906				2,609,314		2,883,220			7,869		5,690		3,303	34,632							41,720		89,381	30,907	38,549	47,562	2,535,528	47,079	2005-06 EXPENSES
107,605	(13,745)	74,285			47,065		107,605			282	57,191	1,438	6,513	2,390								910	14,388					23,596	897	2006-07 EXPENSES
7,796		3,227			4,569		7,796				998												6,798							2007-08 EXPENSES
5,500					5,500		5,500									5,500														2008-09 EXPENSES
6,902		-			6,902		6,902																6,902	-						2009-10 EXPENSES
3,390,522	260,161	77,512	31,442	1	3,021,407		3,390,522		ı	8,151	58,189	21,433	6,716	6,086	37,532	5,500	9,756	3,617		31,442		42,630		89,381	30,907	38,549	47,562	2,658,000	266,983	TOTAL YTD EXPENSES
15,989	r	•		1	15,989		15,989	(186,897)	198,574	4,130		2,183	(0)	8,914	(0)	(4,013)	-	ı	,	(0)	,		(6,902)			,	ı	0	(0)	BALANCE OF BUDGET
97.09%	58.67%		100,00%	0.00%	102.17%		97.09%	0.00%	0.00%	22.30%	215.91%	90.76%	134.32%	40.57%	93.83%	183.33%	100,00%	100.00%	0.00%	100.00%	0.00%	60.08%	778.49%	100.60%	100.00%	118.15%	100.00%	100.00%	93.27%	% OF BDGT SPENT

NOTE: REVISED BUDGET REFLECTS APPARENT LOW BID OF 7-1-04

BEAR RIVER HIGH SCHOOL PERFORMING ARTS CENTER YTD ACTUAL 09-30-09 vs. BUDGET

4,520,044 6,135	DEVELOPER FEES - green room 399,800	SALE OF BEAR RIVER EDUS- green room	SALE OF BEAR RIVER EDUS- over bid 14,912 637,798	DEVELOPER FEES - mitigate lead soil 250,000 843,000	STATE FUNDS	SALE OF BEAR RIVER EDUS-General	BOND 4,255,132 4,255,132	FUNDING SOURCES	4,520,044 6,135,730	Contgency Remaining 15	igency used	Contingency 263,227 263,227	27,836		31,305		Labor Compliance (.5 of 1%) 21,350 21		Consultants 5,000		Geotechnical / Geohazard 4,304 4	Site Survey 7,752	Mitigate lead soil 250,000 843,000		Inspector 94,050 103.	Other Construction Costs 10,000	Added Value Change Orders 1.67% 64	Soil-related Change Orders .13% 5.	Construction-related Change Orders 2.41% 92	Changes to contract (Green Room) 399,800	ω	NTD 307,800 350,552	BUDGET BUDGET
730 6,133,841	800 380,614	19,186	798 530,814	000 841,111		50,000	132 4,312,116		730 6,133,841	15,719	(247,508) (263,227)	227 263,227	27,836 26,368	253,000 253,000		13,000 21,607				13,864 13,864	4,304 3,918		000 841,111	126,548 126,548	103,996 104,720	54 1,984		5,422 5,422		800 399,800	556 3,730,914	552 353,226	r BUDGET
166,900							166,900		166,900											1,824	3,918											161,158	EXPENSES
272,993				37,493			235,500		272,993						24,891	1,778				12,040			37,493	89,363		54						107,374	EXPENSES
1,906,122	ı			798,717			1,107,405		1,906,122			******					1,038	2,751					798,717	37,184	39,060						994,978	32,395	EXPENSES
3,419,255	380,614	19,186	212,243	4,901			2,802,311		3,419,255				266			14,829	6,875	39,455					4,901		62,930		69,016	5,422	99,582	399,800	2,665,489	50,690	EXPENSES
280,814			280,814			50,000	(50,000)		280,814				9,194	187,101	5,715	1,981	1,690	347							2,730						70,447	1,609	EXPENSES
859							859		859				788	71																			EXPENSES
62,968			37,757				25,211		62,968				1,740	52,721												8,506							EXPENSES
									-				1	1												-							EXPENSES
6,109,911	380,614	19,186	530,814	841,111		50,000	4,288,186		6,109,911				11,988	239,893	30,606	18,588	9,602	42,553	•	13,864	3,918		841,111	126,548	104,720	8,560	69,016	5,422	99,582	399,800	3,730,914	353,226	EXPENSES
23,930	,	1	(0)	(0)		_	23,930		23,930		(263,227)	263,227	14,380	13,107	0	3,019	(0)	0		ı	(0)		(0)	0	,	(6,576)		1	-		(0)	0	BUDGET
99.58%	95.20%		83.23%	99.78%	0.00%		100.78%		99.58%		0.00%	0.00%	45.47%	94.82%	100.00%	86.03%	100,00%	100.00%		100.00%	100.01%		100.00%	100.00%	100.00%		100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%		100.00%	SPENT

NOTE: REVISED BUDGET REFLECTS APPARENT LOW BID OF 7-1-04
REVISED BUDGET REFLECTS ADD'L LEAD SOIL MITIGATION

SIERRA FOOTHILL HIGH SCHOOL MODERNIZATION PROJECT YTD ACTUALS 09-30-09 vs. BUDGET

Budget Revised to Include Phase 2

NEVADA UNION HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT STATE MATCHING FUNDS EXPENDITURES PER PROJECT BY BUDGET CATEGORY 2009-2010

DATE	VENDOR	DESCRIPTION	AMC	UNT	YTD EXPE	NSES
	on High School : on High SchoolPhase IIC (C	afeteria Project)				
Temporary H				100.00		
8/14/2009 8/28/2009	Mobile Mini LLC Mobile Mini LLC	Storage Unit Storage Unit	\$ \$	160.82 160.82	\$	321.6
Other Costs	Oleman Building Oughana		¢.	400.00	•	
9/18/2009 9/25/2009	Sierra Building Systems Sierra Building Systems	Clock/Clock Integration Clock/Clock Integration	\$ \$	245.00		
					\$	645.0
TOTAL PRO	JECT COST FISCAL YEAR T	O DATE			\$	966.6
Nevada Unic	on High SchoolPhase IID (J	& A Wings)				
Architect Fe	es					
					\$	_
Achaetae/l a	ad Abatement					
ASSUSTED	ad Abdiomonic				œ	
Fees/Permits	S				\$	_
					\$	-
TOTAL PRO	JECT COST FISCAL YEAR T	O DATE			\$	
The second secon			gravija o traje et 1906 i 1906 i Oblasivast valar Areks Amerik	e ikan katan Merengalah Jeograpian di mandalah di Salah	10,000	
TOTAL NU N	10D PROJECT YEAR TO DA	TE:			\$	966.6

NEVADA UNION HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT BOND PROCEEDS EXPENDITURES PER PROJECT BY BUDGET CATEGORY 2009-2010

DATE	VENDOR	DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT	YTD EXPENSES
Bear River Aquatic Cer	High School nter:			
Other Cons 9/11/2009 9/25/2009	truction Costs Oasis Pool Service Oasis Pool Service	Retrofit Pool DrainNew Federal Reg Replace Lights	\$ 5,101.50 \$ 1,800.00	
TOTAL PRO	DJECT COST FISCAL YEAR	TO DATE		\$ 6,901.50
Performing	Arts Building:			
Sound & Li	ghting Equipment			
Other Cons	truction Costs			
Equipment				
TOTAL PRO	DJECT COST FISCAL YEAR	TO DATE		\$

PROJECT STATUS REPORT - NEVADA UNION H.S. MOD PHASE IID

Report Date	9/30/2009	
	1 000 000	
Original Project Budget	1,369,233	
Revised Project Budget per bids	-	
Synopsis of project activity for quarter		
Please see attached facility report		
Issues / Comments		
% of Project Completed	20.00%	
% of Project Spent	9.98%	
Original Completion Date	Aug-10	
Revised Completion Date		
Actual / Projected Completion Date		
# of Day Ahead (Behind)		
Evalonoficas		
Explanations:		

PROJECT STATUS REPORT - NEVADA UNION H.S. MOD PHASE IIC

Report Date	9/30/2009	
	C 200 004	
Original Project Budget	6,299,984	
Revised Project Budget per bids	5,878,242	
Synopsis of project activity for quarter		
Please see attached facility report		
Issues / Comments		\neg
% of Project Completed	99.90%	
% of Project Completed % of Project Spent	92.11%	-
70 Or Frojeck open.		
Original Completion Date	Aug-08	
Revised Completion Date	Oct-08	
Actual / Projected Completion Date	Oct-08	
# of Day Ahead (Behind)		
Notice of Completion filed April 29, 200	10	
House of Completion flied April 29, 200	, ,	
Explanations: Department of State Archit	ect Holdups	

PROJECT STATUS REPORT - NEVADA UNION H.S. MOD PHASE IIB

Report Date	9/30/2009	
Original Project Budget	2,262,276	
Revised Project Budget per bids	1,289,407	
Synopsis of project activity for quarter		
Please see attached facility report		-
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
Issues / Comments		
Project out to bidBid Opening Day	5/2/07	ľ
% of Project Completed	100.00%	
% of Project Spent	92.78%	
Original Completion Date	Aug-07	
Revised Completion Date	Aug-07	
Actual / Projected Completion Date		
# of Day Ahead (Behind)		
Notice of Completion filed Decembe	r 12, 2007	
Explanations:		

PROJECT STATUS REPORT - NEVADA UNION H.S. MOD PHASE IIA

Report Date	9/30/2009
Original Project Budget	1,284,394
Revised Project Budget per bids	1,104,416
Synopsis of project activity for quarter	
Please see attached facility report	
Issues / Comments	
% of Project Completed	100.00%
% of Project Spent	96.25%
Original Completion Date	Fall 2006
Revised Completion Date	
Actual / Projected Completion Date	
# of Day Ahead (Behind)	
Notice of Completion Recorded Janu	ary 4, 2007
Explanations:	

PROJECT STATUS REPORT - NEVADA UNION MODERNIZATION PROJECT PHASE I

Report Date	9/30/2009	
Original Project Budget	9,221,988	
Revised Project Budget	9,228,410	Additional State Money Rec'd
Synopsis of project activity for quarter Please see the attached facility repo	ort	
Issues / Comments	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , 	
Phase 1 construction is completed Phase 2 construction is completed		;
Phase 3 construction is completed		

% of Project Completed	100.00%
% of Project Spent	100.03%

	Original		# of Day
	Completion	Actual Completion	Ahead
PHASE 1	Date	Date	(Behind)
Parking and Entrance Ramp	10/3/2003	1/5/2004	(91)
Building A	12/15/2003	1/5/2004	(20)
Building B Boiler Room	10/15/2003	10/24/2004	(9)
Building B Girls Locker Room	12/16/2003	3/22/2004	(96)
PHASE 2			
Building G- Boy's Locker Room;			
Boiler Rm, Chiller Replacement	8/15/2004	10/15/2004	(61)
Building E- Classroom Wing	12/29/2004	12/29/2004	0
PHASE 3			
Building D	8/1/2005	6/15/2005	45
Building C (Spec Ed & Home Ec)	8/15/2005	8/15/2005	
Building C (Classroom Wing)	12/20/2005	12/20/2005*	
Punchlist Completed		4/12/2006	
Notice of Completion Recorded		4/28/2006	
Explanations:			
Field renovations completed June 30, 200	08.		

PROJECT STATUS REPORT - BEAR RIVER AQUATICS CENTER

Report Date	9/30/2009	
Original Project Cost	2,972,284	
Revised Project Cost per bids	3,435,226	
Revised Project/Arsenic Impacted Soil	3,492,226	
Final Revised Budget	3,406,511	
Synopsis of project activity for quarter		
Please see attached facility report		
Issues / Comments		
Project bids were opened July 1, 2004		
% of Project Completed	100.00%	
% of Project Spent	96.89%	
		_,
Original Completion Date	Fall 2005	
Revised Completion Date	May, 2006	
Actual / Projected Completion Date		
# of Day Ahead (Behind)	(60.00)	
Notice Of Completion Filed August 25	, 2006	
Explanations:		
Received clearance from DTSCNotice	to Proceed Issued	
Project delayed due to late DTSC cleara	nce	

PROJECT STATUS REPORT - BEAR RIVER PERFORMING ARTS BUILDING

Report Date	9/30/2009
Original Project Budget	4,520,044
Revised Project Budget per bids	5,292,730
Revised Project Budget/Lead Impacted Soil	6,135,730
Final Revised Budget	6,133,841

Synopsis of project activity	for quarter	
Please see attached fa	cility report	

Issues / Comments
Mitigating lead soil is the additional \$593,000 to budget
Increase soil mitigation to \$843,000

% of Project Completed	99.90%
% of Project Spent	99.58%

Original Completion Date	Fall 2005
Revised Completion Date	July, 2006
Actual / Projected Completion Date	
# of Day Ahead (Behind)	(51.00)
	, ,

Notice Of Completion Filed August 25, 2006

Explanations:

Rain delay=51 days

PROJECT STATUS REPORT - SIERRA FOOTHILL HIGH SCHOOL MODERNIZATION PROJECT

Report Date	9/30/2009	
Original Project Budget	1,068,558	
Revised Project Budget	2,333,336	

Synopsis of project activity for quarter	
Please see attached facility report	

Issues / Comments

Project scope expanded to include State Modernization Funding Modernization eligibility funding is \$1,233,194

% of Project Completed	100.00%
% of Project Spent	99.00%

etion
1

To:

Board of Trustees

From:

Karen Suenram, Assistant Superintendent-Business Services

Date:

December 16, 2009

Subject:

Independent Citizens' Bond Oversight Committee for Measure A

Background

On March 5, 2002, the electorate of the Nevada Joint Union High School District approved a \$15 million general obligation bond to repair local school classrooms and buildings. The bond was approved based on the provisions of Proposition 39 (2000) which amended the California Constitution to provide for passage of school bonds with a 55% approval of the electorate.

Also included with the provisions of Proposition 39 is the requirement for the School District to establish and appoint members to an Independent Citizens' Oversight Committee. The purpose of the Committee is to inform the public concerning expenditure of bond revenues and to actively review and report on the proper expenditure of taxpayers' money for school construction.

Since the passage of the bond in 2002, the Committee has met quarterly and has regularly and actively reviewed documentation on the proper expenditure of taxpayers' money for school construction. The Committee has also received and reviewed copies of the annual, independent performance audit as required by the California Constitution. Current members of the Committee include:

Mike Bratton, Clerk	Representing an active business		
	organization representing the business		
	community located within the district.		
Lorraine Plagge, Chair	Representing an appointment without		
	regard to activities or affiliations.		
Trish Gerving	Representing an appointment without		
	regard to activities or affiliations.		
Dottie Kelley	Representing both a Parent of a student		
	enrolled in the district and active in parent-		
	teacher organization.		
Sue Cook Norrell	Representing a Senior Citizen's		
	Organization.		
Wayne Klauer	Representing a parent of a student enrolled		
•	in the district.		

BOARD APPROVED
DATE DEC 16 2009

Attached for Board and public review, are the most recent expenditure statements received and reviewed by the Committee on December 2, 2009. Also attached is the Independent Accountant's Report for the 2008-2009 fiscal year as prepared by Perry-Smith, Accountants.

Bond Oversight Committee Clerk, Mike Bratton, will be present to discuss the Committee's findings with the Board and public.

Estimated Costs and Funding Sources

N/A

Recommendation

Accept report from Independent Citizens' Bond Oversight Committee and Independent Accountant's Report.





INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANT'S REPORT ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

Board of Education and Independent Citizens' Bond Oversight Committee for Measure A Nevada Joint Union High School District Grass Valley, California

We have performed the procedures enumerated in Attachment II, which were agreed to by Nevada Joint Union High School District (the "District") and the Independent Citizens' Bond Oversight Committee for Measure A (the "Committee"), solely to assist the Committee in evaluating District management's assertions concerning disbursements of bond funds as of June 30, 2009 as detailed in Attachment III. This agreed-upon procedures engagement was performed in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. The sufficiency of these procedures is solely the responsibility of the District and the Committee. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described in Attachment II either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose.

Background information regarding Measure A bonds is included as Attachment I. The procedures performed and conclusions reached as a result of these procedures are identified in Attachment II. Attachment III provides information regarding the financial activity and balances of bond funds for the year ended June 30, 2009.

We were not engaged to, and did not, perform an examination, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion on management's assertions. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

This report is intended solely for the use of Nevada Joint Union High School District and the Independent Citizens' Bond Oversight Committee for Measure A and should not be used by those who have not agreed to the procedures and taken responsibility for the sufficiency of the procedures for their purposes.

temy-Smith UP

November 24, 2009

NEVADA JOINT UNION HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT BACKGROUND INFORMATION

LEGISLATIVE HISTORY

On November 7, 2000, California voters approved Proposition 39, the Smaller Classes, Safer Schools, and Financial Accountability Act. Proposition 39 amended portions of the California Constitution to provide for the issuance of general obligation bonds by school districts, community college districts, or county offices of education, "for the construction, reconstruction, rehabilitation, or replacement of school facilities, including the furnishing and equipping of school facilities, or the acquisition or lease of real property for school facilities", upon approval by 55% of the electorate.

NEVADA JOINT UNION HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT MEASURE A GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS

On March 5, 2002, the electorate of Nevada Joint Union High School District approved the \$15 million Measure A general obligation bonds with greater than 55% of the votes in favor. The text of the ballot language was as follows:

To repair local school classrooms and buildings and obtain eligibility for state funding for construction and renovation, shall the Nevada Joint Union High School District issue \$15 million of bonds at interest rates within the legal limit, provide adequate classrooms for students, acquire and construct local school sites and facilities to relieve overcrowding, appoint a citizens oversight committee, and perform annual financial and performance audits, with no proceeds used for teacher or administrator salaries or other school operating expenses?

The specific project list is provided below:

Nevada Union High School: The District plans to undertake the following specific capital improvements projects at the Nevada Union High School Campus:

- Repair, renovate and modernize the existing gymnasiums, locker rooms and athletic field
- Repair, renovate and modernize existing classroom facilities
- Repair, renovate and modernize existing restroom facilities
- Install a new HVAC system

Bear River High School: The District plans to undertake the following specific capital improvements projects at the Bear River High School Campus:

- Design and construct a new performing arts building
- Design and construct a new aquatic center

<u>Sierra Foothill High School:</u> The District plans to undertake the following specific capital improvements projects at the Sierra Foothill High School Campus:

- Repair, renovate and modernize existing classroom facilities
- Repair, renovate and modernize existing restroom facilities
- Install a new HVAC system

NEVADA JOINT UNION HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT BACKGROUND INFORMATION

(Continued)

NEVADA JOINT UNION HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT MEASURE A GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS (Continued)

The above listing does not suggest any particular priority for construction amongst the proposed projects.

All bond expenditures are subject to review by a Citizens Oversight Committee which reports to the public, as provided in Education Code Section 15278 *et seq.*

NEVADA JOINT UNION HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT PROCEDURES PERFORMED AND CONCLUSIONS

PROCEDURES PERFORMED

Nevada Joint Union High School District provided a list of all Measure A project expenditures (the "List"). A total of 167 expenditures were identified representing \$3,239,572 in expenditures from July 1, 2008 to June 30, 2009. Expenditures included funds from sources other than Measure A proceeds, but were used for Measure A approved projects. We performed the following procedures to the List of Measure A bond expenditures:

- Verified the mathematical accuracy of the List.
- Selected a sample of 20 expenditures totaling \$2,500,997. The sample was selected to provide a representation across specific construction projects, vendors, and expenditure amounts. The sample represented 12% of the total number of expenditures and 77% of the total expenditure value.
- Agreed selected expenditures to vendor invoices and cancelled warrants noting the date and amount of the expenditure, and that the funds were used for the construction, rehabilitation, or replacement of school facilities, including the furnishing and equipping of school facilities, or the acquisition or lease of real property for school facilities and that funds were not spent for District administrative or instructional salaries or other administrative expenses.

CONCLUSIONS

- The List was mathematically accurate.
- Each of the 20 expenditures tested represented valid bond expenditures, properly charged to the location indicated, properly coded as to the nature of the expenditure, and determined to represent construction, rehabilitation, or replacement of school facilities, including the furnishing and equipping of school facilities, or the acquisition or lease of real property for school facilities and not spent for District administrative or instructional salaries or other administrative expenses.

Board of Education and Independent Citizens' Bond Oversight Committee for Measure A Nevada Joint Union High School District Grass Valley, California

We have compiled the accompanying Schedule of Measure A General Obligation Bonds – Fund Update as of and for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2009 (Attachment III), in accordance with Statement on Standards for Accounting and Review Services issued by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants.

A compilation is limited to presenting in the form of financial statements information that is the representation of management. We have not audited or reviewed the accompanying General Obligation Bonds – Fund Update as of and for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2009 and, accordingly, do not express an opinion or any other form of assurance on them.

Permy - Smith UP

Sacramento, California November 24, 2009

NEVADA JOINT UNION HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS FUND UPDATE

As of and for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2009

Location/Site	Project Number	Current Year Activity	Activity To Date
GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND			
<u>Funding</u>			
Proceeds from the issuance of General Obligation Bonds Cost of issuance Interest Net Available Funds		\$ 30,664 30,664	\$ 14,998,701 (184,000) 988,873 15,803,574
<u>Projects</u>			
Bear River High School Aquatics Center Bear River High School Performing Arts Nevada Union High School Modernization Phase I Nevada Union High School Modernization Phase II A Sierra Foothill High School Modernization Nevada Union High School Modernization Phase II B Nevada Union High School Modernization Phase II C	9891 9892 9893 9894 9895 9897 9898	5,500 28,941 922,351	3,014,505 4,291,916 1,307,475 911,300 1,063,408 341,239 3,166,537
Nevada Union High School Modernization Phase II D	9899	136,703	136,703
Total Project Costs		1,093,495	14,233,083
Net Activity		<u>\$ (1,062,831)</u>	<u>\$ 1,570,491</u>